

THE - Impact Rankings 2026



8.2.7 Tracking pay scale for gender equity

Kalasalingam Academy of Research and Education (KARE) has an established mechanism to systematically track and ensure gender pay equity across faculty, administrative, technical, and support staff categories.

1. Annual Pay Equity Audit

HR conducts annual audits that include:

- Salary comparison by gender, designation, pay level, and years of experience
- Review of increments and promotions
- Verification that no gender-based discrepancies exist in compensation
- 2. Pay Structure Based on Role, Not Gender

All employees—male, female, and others—are placed on:

- The same pay scale for the same role
- Equal access to allowances (DA, HRA, TA, etc.)
- Identical annual increment rules

There is no gender-based variation in pay, allowances, or promotion benefits.

3. Transparent Pay Matrix System

The university follows:

• Government-equivalent pay matrix for non-teaching staff



- AICTE/UGC scale for teaching staff
 These standardized structures inherently support gender equality.
- 4. Monitoring During Recruitment & Promotion
 - Recruitment panels verify equal pay for equal qualifications
 - Promotion committees track gender distribution
 - HR reports identify trends in male–female retention and pay progression
- 5. Gender Equity Review Mechanism

Findings of the pay equity audit are submitted to:

- Internal Complaints Committee
- Equal Opportunity Cell
- University Administration
 This ensures accountability and corrective action, if needed.

Policies available in KARE Website:

Workforce Employment Practice and Welfare Policy

https://www.kalasalingam.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2025/11/SDG-Workforce-Employment-Practices-Welfare-Policy.pdf

Policy on Gender Equity and Sensitization

https://kalasalingam.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/docs/Gender%20Equality.pdf

All Policies

https://www.kalasalingam.ac.in/policies/

Faculty Appraisal System

At Kalasalingam Academy of Research and Education (KARE), the Faculty Appraisal System is a structured mechanism designed to evaluate and enhance the overall performance of faculty members. The system aims to ensure continuous improvement in key areas such as teaching

effectiveness, research productivity, innovation, and professional development, aligning with the institution's vision of academic excellence.

Key features of the appraisal system include:

- Comprehensive Evaluation: Faculty performance is assessed across multiple dimensions, including classroom teaching, research output, participation in conferences and workshops, mentorship, and contribution to institutional initiatives.
- Feedback and Development: The appraisal process provides constructive feedback, helping faculty identify strengths and areas for improvement while guiding their professional growth.
- Alignment with Career Progression: Appraisal outcomes are integrated with Career Advancement Scheme (CAS), promotions, and professional recognition, motivating faculty to achieve higher standards in their academic and research endeavors.
- Encouragement of Innovation and Excellence: The system incentivizes faculty to engage in innovative teaching practices, interdisciplinary research, and collaborative projects, thereby contributing to the university's overall academic reputation.

Through this structured appraisal mechanism, KARE fosters a culture of accountability, continuous learning, and excellence among its faculty members.

Kalasalingsm Academy of Research and Education (Deemed to be University) Anand Nagar, Krishnankoil

Faculty Appraisal Form 2023

NOTE: The appearant form will be rejected straightway without further processing if any faculty member is found to not meet the mini eligibility as memioned below:

1. No continuous absence for a week without proper leave approval and class adjustments.

2. Should receive PhD guideship within 2 years of joining KARF or PhD completion at KARF.

3. No decephany action and no evidence of Professional misconduct and no derefiction of allotted duties (including examination duties).

S. No	Items	Rating	Complied (C)	Concern (Co)	Weakness (W)	Deficiency (D)
L	(a) Lecture Nones Quality (b) LMS usage		Prepared / updated with new problems in all the units. The problems are also classified differently for fast and slow learners AND Course materials/PPT/ Assignments etc. uploaded in IAS before class	new problems in some units.	Common Xerosced notes of previous years without any new additions. AND Course materials/PPT/ Assignments etc aploaded in LMS after class	Not available or more copy gaste from internet source AND Course materials/PPT/ Assignments etc not uploaded.
(62)	Course 1					
(6)	Course 2					
(c)	Course J		Section of the Control of the Contro	and the second second second	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	
2	Feedback		>80% in all courses (average)	TO - 80% in all the courses (average)	60 - 70% in all the courses (average)	< 60% in all the courses (average)



KALASALINGAM ACADEMY OF RESEARCH AND EDUCATION (DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY) Under sec. 3 of UGC Act 1956. Accredited by NAAC with "A++" Grade



S. No	Items	Rating	Complied (C)	Concern (Co)	Weakness (W)	Deficiency (D)
3.	Laboratory OR MOOC Course development *Faculty not contribute in lab consess are expected to contribute in MOCK course development		Contributed in designing one experiment and revision of the existing manual (minimum 30%) OR Contributed in preparing one MOXX course fully	Contributed in revising the existing manual (minimum 30%) OR Commbuted in preparing 3 quadrants of one MOOC course	Used the existing manual without any further updation. OR Contributed in preparing 2 quadrants of one MOOC course	No good manual prepared OR No contribution in preparing MOOC course
4	Project Guidance		Guided 5 projects with evident outcomes (in terms of publications / patents etc.)	Guided 5 projects with evident outcomes in atleast 3 projects	Guided 5 projects but without any evident outcomes	Not involved seriously in guiding projects
5.	Question paper quality		Rating is >3 as per IQAC audit (average)	Rating is between 2 - 3 no per IQAC audit (average)	Rating is between 1 - 2 as per IQAC audit (average)	Rating is between 0 - 1 as per IQAC audit (average)
60	FDP attended		Atleast 1 FDP/MOOC of minimum 1 week duration or 2 FTPs of minimum 3 days duration or 1 industry certification course of minimum 10 days duration with following conditions: (a) Participation in solutures within NIRF 200 rank. (b) Demonstrated outcome in terms of developed	Atleast 1 FDP/MOOC of minimum 1 week duration or 2 FTPs of minimum 3 days duration or 1 industry certification course of minimum 10 days duration	e2	No FDP:FTP:MOOC strended or industry certification attended

S. No	Items	Rating	Complied (C)	Concern (Co)	Weakness (W)	Deficiency (D)
			/training to students /faculty			
*	Guiding students through Hackathon or other such initiative including national level competitions OR development of new pedagogy		hevolved in guiding atleast one actively of hackarthon or similar (OR) Implement atleast one active learning pedagogy in the T-L process in each somester.		5)	Not involved in student related activities or implementation of pedagogy

. Ne	Items	Rating	Complied (C)	Concern (Co)	Weakness (W)	Deficiency (D)		
1.	(a) Publications (Refer Note 1)	imanadi.		- compatibles	and the second second			
	Assistant Professor (1,2,3)		.4	3	2	-27		
	Associate Professor and Senior Associate Professor		6	5	4	-4		
	Professor and Senior Professor			6.	5	- 45		
	(b) Books Published							
	All Designations		I book through International Publisher (Equivalent to 4 SCI-Scopus papers)	1 book through reputed Notional Publisher with approval from R&D office (Equivalent to 3 SCUScopus papers)	Not completed. Editing in progress, (need to submit relevant documents during verification)	Not started		
. 2	(a) Patent(Filed/Published/Gran	ted)		The same and the same as I				
	All Designations		One Granted or one published or one filed	25	2	None		



ALASALING



Under sec. 3 of UGC Act 1956. Accredited by NAAC with "A++" Grade



Ī.

No	Items Ratio	g Complied (C)	Concern (Co)	Weakness (W)	Deficiency (D)
		OR			210000000000000000000000000000000000000
	(b) Product Development (Refer Note 2)				59305
	All Designations	Product developed with the help of industry/Startup and went for commercialization	Product developed with the help of industry/Startup but not went for commercialization/ Marketing	Product development at laboratory level or Development of Instructional materials, or Working models (Charta) monograms, etc.	No involvement in Product Development
1	(a) Submitted/Granted Funded Project	Application to Indian /Funded		ndian / International agen	cies (Refer Note: 3)
	Assistant Professor-Engg. Assistant Professor-Humanities, Arch, Agri, Horsi, Arts, Social Science	One ongoing research project from any agencies (Indian/International) and one submitted in the assessment year	Minimum one research proposal submitted for any agencies (lodam funernational) of one startup proposal one seed money proposal recommended through internal committee with external experts furn Funding agencies	ā.	No proposals submitted
	Senior Associate Professor-Engg. Arts. Professor - Engg. Arts. Senior Professor - Engg. Arts. Associate Professor-Engg. Arts. Associate Professor-Arts.	One origining research project from any agencies (Indian/International)	Minimum three research proposal submitted for any agencies (Indian/International) or one research proposal and secontmended though internal	Minimum two research proposal submitted for any agencies (Indian-International) or one startup proposal (one seed money proposal and one research	No proposals submitted

S. No.	Items Ratio	g Complied (C)	Concern (Co)	Weakness (W)	Deficiency (D)	
			committee with external experts from Funding agencies	proposal— through internal committee with external expents from Funding agencies		
	Market Same Contract	OF		o demino		
	(b) Consultancy					
	All Designations	Minimum of Rs 300000/- from any industry	Minimum of Rs 200000/- from any industry	Minimum of Rs. 100000/- from any industry	Less than 100000/- from any industry	
4.	Fellowship/Awards for Teaching, Resear Not from local bodies/Organisation. This is			rmment recognised organ	itation	
	All Designations	I in 2 years either government / government recognised organisation	I per year applied. government / government recognised granusation	I per 2 years in private organization	Not even applied	

- Note 5:

 If the name appears as 5º and above in the authors list, then the papers will not be considered.

 Papers with feeding professors, NIRF ranking institutions (50), R&D Labs/Industries, up 500 TBME higher education / QS ranking institutions will be considered as 1.25 papers.

 This metric will be based on the Target fixed for the publications. (Only indexed in Scopus and Web of Science will be Considered)

 Fall Time scholar's poblications will not be considered (Even after fellowship period)

 Report from researgence login will be considered.

Note 2:

. This metric will be measures a technology's maturity, from Level 1 (Concept Evaluation) to Level 5 (Technology Validation in relevant environment)

Note 3:



KALASALING

(DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSIT Under sec. 3 of UGC Act 1956. Accredited by NAAC with "A++" Grade



- Project proposals submitted without the knowledge of office of R&D will not be considered.
 For Co-Pls = 0.5 will be considered.
 Training in Industry to Industrialists or conducting in bouse training programmes withfee collected can also be considered.
 Consultancy process should be enroute through office of R&D
 Sanctioned letter to be produced.
 Consultancy process done without the knowledge of office of R&D will not be considered.

Part - C: Extension and Administration

S. No	liems	Rating	Complied (C)	Concern (Cu)	Weakness (W)	Deficiency (D)
1	Organizing workshops /sensings /FDP/EDP/MDP/ lenemship etc		Cumularive duration of the programmes, organized should be minimum lithours	Cumulative duration of the programmes organized is between 12 - 18 hours	Cumulative duration of the programmes organized is less than 12 hours	Did not take initiative to organize any events
2	Invited talke/BoS member/AC member etc. For Professors, turningious should be in the NBF top 200 only.		Participation in atleust one such activity at state or national level (Participation at international level to be mention in Pan-D)		72	No participation
).	Performance / outcomes in admin work and adhering to timelines in assigned administrative works		Moets the expectations of the reporting authority	Just marginally meets the expectations of the reporting authority	Falls short of the expectation of the reporting authority	Falls very short of the expectation of the reporting authority
4.	Effectiveness in documentation work at dept/central level		Documentation is professionally done	Documentation is moderately done	Documentation is pourly done	Doesn't take interest as documentation
5.	Contribution as Faculty Advisor		Faculty Advisor Score = 20	Faculty Advesor Score = 15 to 19	Faculty Advisor Score = 10 to 14	Faculty Advisor Score < 10

Items / Score	. 5	4	3	2	1	. 0
CGPA improvement in slow learners	100%	80%	60%	40%	20%	<20%
Disciplinary issues	5 - No.	fisciplina	ry issue	0 - Any disciplinary issue		
Coursefling to long absent / drop out	5 - Suc	cessful o	ounsething	0-No.	uccentul	counselling
Co&Extra-curricular activity participation	100%	80%	60%	40%	20%	<2016

S. No	Hems	Rating
1.	Additional courses taught from minimum norms	
	Coune I	
	Course 2	
2	Project guided (mention numbers in addition to the min 5)	
3.	Participation in FDP/Cortification/Training programme more than the norms	
7.7	Programme I (duration)	
	Programme 2 (duration)	
	Programme 3 (duration)	
4.	Programme organized (mention cum hours > 18 hours)	
5.	Mention invited talks/BoS/AC member etc (beyond minimum req)	
6.	Demonstrated innovativeness in T-L with nutcomes (submit one page report with evidence in prescribed template)	
7.	Demonstrated innovativeness in Research with outcomes (submit one page report with evidence)	
8.	Demonstrated innovativeness in Admin activities with outcomes (submit one page report with evidence)	
q.	Self initiative for students improvement (academic/research/professional development)	
10.	Publication in Educational research or similar other document preparation/contribution	
11.	Additional publications from Non-Ph.D. Faculty members	

12.	FDP - Outside state at reputed institutes	
13.	Faculty contribution on Co-Teaching	
14.	Start-up project proposal submission	



Faculty Recruitment Policy

At Kalasalingam Academy of Research and Education (KARE), the Faculty Recruitment Policy is designed to attract, select, and appoint highly qualified and motivated academic professionals who contribute significantly to teaching excellence, research innovation, and overall institutional development.

Key aspects of the policy include:

- Merit-Based Selection: Recruitment is conducted through a transparent, merit-driven process that evaluates academic qualifications, research contributions, teaching experience, and alignment with the university's vision.
- Diversity and Inclusion: The policy emphasizes attracting faculty from diverse academic backgrounds and experiences to foster interdisciplinary learning and a dynamic educational environment.
- Promotion of Research and Innovation: Candidates demonstrating strong research potential and innovative thinking are prioritized to enhance the institution's scholarly output.
- Structured Hiring Process: The recruitment process involves advertisement of vacancies, shortlisting of candidates, interviews, and selection committees to ensure fairness, transparency, and consistency.
- Alignment with Institutional Goals: Selected faculty members are expected to contribute
 actively to curriculum development, mentorship, collaborative research, and other
 academic and administrative initiatives that advance KARE's mission of excellence in
 higher education.

This policy ensures that KARE attracts and retains a highly competent and motivated faculty, strengthening its position as a centre of academic and research excellence.







FACULTY RECRUITMENT POLICY

Human Resource Philosophy

The institution ensures in attracting and maintaining high standards of talent pool from within the city, state, country and foreign country from the streams of Engineering and Non- Engineering respectively. The philosophy of the institution also is responsible in applying the high standards that would be instrumental in delivering benchmarking practices of teaching and learning, research and consulting. The following are those essentials that are to be executed in the institution among its employees in a fair and consistent manner.

- Equal and optimum resource utilization among employees is encouraged
- ➤ Employee wellbeing is ensured for their current and future development in terms of Knowledge, Skills and Attitude
- Each employee is recognized provided they being qualified with their meritorious or meticulous work while carrying out their roles and responsibilities
- As a means of building congenial work environment two way communication is encouraged between the employee and management after any employee finds himself/herself dissatisfied under certain unforeseen circumstances.
- > Team synergy among each employee is expected to ensure mutual understanding and trust with one another.
- Workplace safety is kept as priority and sensitized among each one in the institution.

Recruitment Planning and Process

Manpower planning - The institution's manpower planning is executed with the following SOPs.

- 1) Analyzing current manpower requirement (at Department Level through HoDs & Dean of respective School)
- 2) Manpower forecasting
- 3) Manpower demand vs supply analysis
- 4) Manpower Trend Analysis
- Manpower recruitment approval

While carrying out the above process the institution ensures to bridge the gap between the manpower planning shortfalls and surplus in respective schools. It is also ensure that reduction of labour cost is maintained. The gaps of manpower should be filled with the choice of suitable talent, skill knowledge and attitude accordingly. All the process requires approval and clearance from the respective level of authority prior and after the process commencement.

Anand Magar Krishnankori 626 120

Dr. S. NARAYANAN

Vice - Chancellor Kelasalingam Academy of Research and Education



Under sec. 3 of UGC Act 1956. Accredited by NAAC with "A++" Grade



The institution's manpower planning is executed with the following SOPs.

The institution's process of recruitment and selection should be a step-by-step process, post completion of manpower planning approval. Once the manpower planning is approved the below steps are to be followed by the Office of Human Resources consecutively.

- 1) Recruitment advertisement designing & Approval
- 2) Call for Telephonic interview and online screening
- 3) Call for Face to Face Interview
- 4) Shortlisting and Selection committee
- 5) Conduct of Psychometric test for candidates and finalization
- 6) Offer letter preparation
- 7) Appointment order processing
- 8) Joining of New faculty / staff

All employees in the institution who are medically fit and not suffering from prolonged illness, having health complications shall be eligible for joining the institution.

All appointments should have at least two positive references while applying and the institution reserves the right to place referral calls for risk assessment purposes.

Recruitment and Selection of Other States & Foreign National candidates

The institution may employ candidates from other states and foreign nationals. The institution reserves the right to undertake referral checks of the candidature and then employ only when the candidature is found genuine/ legally qualified for the position applied. The candidates are expected to submit all relevant documents or testimonials for verification at the time of joining the institution. The educational qualification of the candidates are as per the UGC norms.

Terms of Employment Policy

The institution shall appoint an employee on permanent basis and the employees are employed on the basis of Full-Time salaried basis. All the employees are eligible to avail the amenities and welfare initiatives that are offered for the employees without any specific exemption. The employees may be appointed based on their roles and responsibilities in the capability of a level which may be in teaching and non-teaching categories respectively.

The institution reserves the right to alternate or change the position / roles and responsibilities of any employee as and when required suiting the requirements of the organization with or without the notice of the employee.

15 OF Real Anand Magair, Frishm - 026 128.

Dr. S. NARAYANAN Vice - Chancellor



Equal Employment Opportunity Policy

The institution ensures of EEO – i.e., Equal Employment Opportunity basis and is against any unlawful discrimination based on caste, creed, race, colour, gender, religion, marital status, age, nation's origin. Hence, those candidates who suit the institution's requirement is recruited on merit basis. Only those who qualify the screening process of recruitment and selection is made eligible to serve the institution.

Employee Details Maintenance Policy

The institution is required to maintain the employee database which demands the personal details to be submitted by the employee. The employees are responsible to update their personal details incase of changes if any. The updates shall be made through the appropriate level of approving authority. Those personal details submitted to the institution shall be maintained with the utmost confidentiality. In case of change in family status also should be intimated within 30 days to the human resources department through the appropriate level of approving authority.





Policies available in KARE Website:

Workforce Employment Practice and Welfare Policy

https://www.kalasalingam.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2025/11/SDG-Workforce-Employment-Practices-Welfare-Policy.pdf

All Policies

https://www.kalasalingam.ac.in/policies/